



FEB 0 5-2003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Applicants: Buchwald, S.L. et al.

Examiner: Barts, S.A.

Application Serial No.: 09/239,024

Art Unit: 1621

Filed: January 27, 1999

Atty. Docket No.: MTV-009.02

Title: Arylation and Vinylation of Activated Carbons

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this "Response" is being deposited with the U. S. Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient postage on the date set forth below in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date of Signature and Mail Deposit

Kristen Willett

Response

Dear Examiner Barts:

In response to the non-final Office Action in the above-identified application, mailed September 25, 2002, the Applicants submit the following Response.

Remarks

Claims 1, 3-5, and 8-35 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections Based on 35 USC § 112¶1

Claims 1, 3-5, and 8-35 stand rejected under 35 USC § 112¶1, based on the Examiner's contention that they contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s) had possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. Specifically, the Examiner contends that the Specification lacks explicit support for the claim limitation "at least one nonchelating ligand" that was added by amendment. The Applicants respectfully disagree.